

AdS/CFT Correspondence, Critical Strings and Stochastic Quantization

Dimitri Polyakov[†]

*The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Strada Costiera 11
I-34014 Trieste, ITALIA*

Abstract

In our previous paper we have shown that the NSR string sigma-model with the massless 5-form vertex operator in $D = 10$ NSR string theory: $V_5 \sim e^{-3\phi} \psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_t \bar{\partial} X^t e^{ik^{\parallel} x^{\parallel}}$ ($t = 4, \dots, 9$) reproduces the correlators of the $N = 4$ $D = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. In particular, this implies that the sigma-model with the V_5 operator in flat space-time should be the NSR analogue of the GS string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. This means that the V_5 -operator plays the role of cosmological constant, curving flat ten-dimensional space-time into that of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In the present paper we show that dilaton beta-function equation in such a sigma-model has the form of stochastic Langevin equation with the non-Markovian noise. The worldsheet cutoff is identified with stochastic time and the V_5 -operator plays the role of the noise. We derive the Fokker-Planck equation associated with this stochastic process and show that the Hamiltonian of the AdS_5 supergravity defines the distribution satisfying this Fokker-Planck equation. This means that the dynamical compactification of the space-time on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ occurs as a result of the non-Markovian stochastic process, generated by the V_5 -operator noise. This provides us with an insight into relations between holography principle and the concept of stochastic quantization from the point of view of critical string theory.

May 2000 **PACS:** 04.50. + h ; 11.25.Mj.

[†] polyakov@ictp.trieste.it

Introduction

The holography principle [1,2,3,4] relates degrees of freedom of quantum field theories in D and $D + 1$ dimensions. The remarkable example is the AdS/CFT correspondence which relates the AdS supergravity to supersymmetric conformal field theories on the AdS boundary. In particular, in case of $D = 4$ this implies the correspondence between five-dimensional AdS supergravity and $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. Another, seemingly unrelated example connecting field theories in D and $D + 1$ dimensions is known from the concept of stochastic quantization. In the approach of stochastic quantization [5] (for comprehensive review see also [6,7]) the dynamics of a given D -dimensional quantum field theory is studied by introducing the white noise to the field theory, or coupling it to infinite thermal reservoir. The interaction with the reservoir breaks the thermal equilibrium; as a result the field theory starts behaving like a brownian-like object, drifting in an *additional* “fictitious” dimension, known as stochastic time τ . In the limit of infinite stochastic time this non-equilibrium $D + 1$ -dimensional system with certain Fokker-Planck distribution returns to the initial equilibrium state; the logarithm of the equilibrium limit of the FP distribution is identified with the action of the original D -dimensional field theory. The dynamics of this $D + 1$ -dimensional stochastic field theory is described by the correlators $\langle \phi(x_1, \tau_1) \dots \phi(x_n, \tau_n) \rangle$ where $\phi(x, \tau)$ are the solutions of the Langevin equation:

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = -\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \phi} + \eta(x, \tau) \quad (1)$$

Here S_E is the action of the equilibrium D -dimensional theory and η is the white noise. To obtain correlation functions the product of these solutions (as functions of η , obtained by iterations) must be averaged over the white noise with the gaussian distribution. This gives rise to the $D + 1$ -dimensional stochastic diagrams which, in the limit of $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ reproduce the Feynman graphs of the D -dimensional theory.

In the present paper we will try to show that these two approaches, the stochastic quantization and the holography principle, are in fact related to each other in a rather intriguing way and that such a relation may be observed and explored from string theory point of view. The key element of our construction is so called brane-like sigma-model which may be understood as the NSR analogue of the GS superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, as the analysis of its correlation functions shows [8]. The action for this model is given by:

$$S_{b.l.} = \int d^2 z \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial} X_\mu + \psi^\mu \bar{\partial} \psi_\mu + \bar{\psi}^\mu \partial \bar{\psi}_\mu + \lambda_0 \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \int \frac{d^4 k_{||}}{k_{||}^4} e^{-3\phi} \psi^{a_1} \psi^{a_2} \psi^{a_3} \psi^{a_4} \psi_t \bar{\partial} X^t e^{ik_{||} X} + c.c. + ghosts \quad (2)$$

Here $X^\mu(z, \bar{z}) (\mu = 0, \dots, 9)$ are space-time coordinates, ψ_μ and $\bar{\psi}_\mu$ are NSR worldsheet fermions and ϕ is bosonized superconformal ghost field. Furthermore, the space-time index μ is split in the $4 + 6$ way, so that $a = 0, \dots, 3$ and $t = 4, \dots, 9$. In other words, the sigma-model (2) is given by NSR string theory action in flat space-time with the potential term related to the closed-string brane-like vertex operator

$$V_5(z, \bar{z}, k^{\parallel}) = \frac{\lambda_0}{k^{\parallel 4}} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_4} e^{-3\phi} \psi_{a_1} \dots \psi_{a_4} \psi_t \bar{\partial} X^t e^{ik^{\parallel} X}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (3)$$

which we will also refer to as V_5 -operator in the rest of the paper. The properties of the sigma-model with the V_5 -operator have been studied in [8] where the relevance of this vertex operator to non-perturbative $D3$ -brane dynamics has been shown. It is important that BRST invariance condition for the V_5 -operator (3) requires that its propagation is confined to four dimensions. Namely, to insure the BRST invariance, the momentum $k^{\parallel}$ must be polarized along the $a_1, \dots, a_4$ directions. Moreover, the condition of the worldsheet conformal invariance (preserving the conformally invariant form of the O.P.E. between two stress-energy tensors corresponding to the action (2) or the vanishing of the beta-function in the lowest order of string perturbation theory) requires that the space-time scalar field $\lambda(k^{\parallel})$, corresponding to the V_5 -operator, should behave as

$$\lambda(k^{\parallel}) \sim \frac{\lambda_0}{k^{\parallel 4}} \quad (4)$$

where λ_0 is constant. As has been argued in [8] the role of the V_5 operator is that it transforms the flat ten-dimensional space-time vacuum into that of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, thus connecting two maximally supersymmetric backgrounds in ten dimensions. This is because adding the V_5 -term to the sigma-model action (2) is in fact equivalent to introducing the $D3$ -brane in the theory. As a result, one may explore string theory in the AdS background (and consequently, the large N limit of gauge theory) by means of the brane-like sigma-model (2) which technically lives in *flat* ten-dimensional space-time. Using the correspondence between local gauge invariant operators in the large N Yang-Mills theory and massless vertex operators in string theory one may obtain the large N correlators in gauge theory by computing scattering amplitudes of the BRST invariant vertices in the sigma-model (2). For example, as the dilaton vertex operator V_ϕ corresponds to the $Tr F^2$ field in gauge

theory, the generating functional for various correlation functions of the $Tr F^2$ operators is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\lambda_0, \varphi) = & \int D[X] D[\psi] D[ghosts] f(\Gamma, N) \exp \left\{ \int d^2 z \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial} X_\mu + \psi^\mu \bar{\partial} \psi_\mu + \bar{\psi}^\mu \partial \psi_\mu \right. \\
& + \lambda_0 \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \int \frac{d^4 k^\parallel}{k^\parallel{}^4} e^{-3\phi} \psi^{a_1} \psi^{a_2} \psi^{a_3} \psi^{a_4} \psi_t \bar{\partial} X^t e^{ik^\parallel X} + \int d^{10} p V_\varphi(p, z, \bar{z}) \varphi(p) \} \\
& \left. + c.c. + ghosts \right\} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(p)$ is ten-dimensional space-time dilaton field. The “measure function” $f(\Gamma, N) \sim (1 + N^2 \Gamma^4)^{-1} \times c.c.$ (Γ is picture-changing operator and N corresponds to the gauge group parameter) needs to be introduced to the measure of integration to insure correct ghost number balance on the sphere and normalization of scattering amplitudes. The two-point dilaton correlation function, corresponding to the generating functional (5) is given by

$$\langle V_\varphi(p_1) V_\varphi(p_2) \rangle_{\sigma-model} = \frac{\delta^2 Z(\lambda_0, \varphi)}{\delta \varphi(p_1) \delta \varphi(p_2)} \Big|_{\varphi=0} \quad (6)$$

To compute this correlator we have to expand the functional (5) in λ_0 . The first non-trivial contribution has the order of λ_0^2 and it is given by

$$A_{\lambda_0^2}(p_1, p_2) \sim \lambda_0^2 \int \frac{d^4 k_1^\parallel}{k_1^\parallel{}^4} \int \frac{d^4 k_2^\parallel}{k_2^\parallel{}^4} \langle V_\varphi(p_1) V_\varphi(p_2) V_5(k_1^\parallel) V_5(k_2^\parallel) \rangle \quad (7)$$

where the four-point amplitude should be computed in the usual NSR string theory in flat space-time. In other words, this is just the usual four-point closed string Veneziano amplitude which has to be integrated over internal momenta of the V_5 -vertices, i.e. over two out of three independent momenta. The straightforward computation of the four-point amplitude and the integration over the V_5 momenta has been performed in [8] and the answer is given by:

$$A_{\lambda_0^2} \sim \lambda_0^2 (p_1^\parallel)^4 \log(p_1^\parallel)^2 \int d^2 w \frac{\log(|\log|w||) + \log(|\log|1-w||)}{|1-w|^4} \quad (8)$$

where $p_1^\parallel$ is the longitudinal projection of the dilaton momentum to four longitudinal directions; $(p^\parallel)^2 = p_\alpha p^\alpha$. It is remarkable that the amplitude (8) depends exclusively on four-dimensional longitudinal projection of the dilaton momentum; up to normalization it has the same form as the two-point correlator $\langle Tr F^2(p_1^\parallel) Tr F^2(-p_1^\parallel) \rangle$ in the $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory in $D = 4$, computed in the approximation of dilaton s-wave [3].

Fourier transforming the amplitude (8), one recovers the well-known expression for the two-point amplitude in the $N = 4$ $D = 4$ SYM theory in the four-dimensional coordinate space: $Tr F^2(x) Tr F^2(y) \sim \frac{1}{|x-y|^8}$. Furthermore, the momentum structure of amplitudes with more V_5 insertions agrees with the form of the $\langle Tr F^2 Tr F^2 \rangle$ correlators computed at higher values of the dilaton angular momentum; in other words, expansion in the λ_0 parameter in the brane-like sigma-model (2) accounts for higher partial waves of the dilaton field in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ supergravity. Proceeding similarly, one can in principle compute higher point correlation functions from the generating functional (5) to show their agreement with the known expressions for 3 and 4-point correlators in the $N = 4, D = 4$ SYM theory. Regarding the four-point functions, one can recover the logarithmic singularities in the position space, observed previously in the AdS_5 supergravity computations [9,10].

In this paper we will explore the mechanism of the dynamical compactification of flat ten-dimensional space-time on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ caused by the presence of potential term with the V_5 vertex in the brane-like sigma-model (9). Our explanation of this mechanism is that the compactification on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ occurs as a result of certain very special non-Markovian stochastic process. Namely, we will show that the V_5 insertion in the sigma-model (5) has a meaning of a “random force” term with the V_5 -operator playing the role of a non-Markovian stochastic noise, which correlations are determined by the worldsheet beta-function associated with the V_5 vertex. We will see that the presence of the V_5 -term in the sigma-model (2) leads to Langevin-type equation in the low-energy effective theory. The role of the stochastic time variable in this Langevin equation will be played by the worldsheet cutoff. This means that the V_5 -term effectively describes the interaction of critical NSR string theory with some infinite thermal reservoir, breaking thermal equilibrium and causing the theory to drift stochastically, behaving like a brownian-type object. Moreover, the reservoir itself is not in the equilibrium, which is reflected in the non-Markovian properties of the V_5 -noise. Therefore the V_5 -noise is not the usual white noise but it propagates in the stochastic time. Such a propagation is defined by the worldsheet correlators of the V_5 -vertices. As a result of the reservoir evolution, the state of thermal equilibrium, reached in the limit of infinite stochastic time in the Langevin equations, is *different* from the initial equilibrium state. In other words, the overall scenario is the following: in the beginning we have a field theory in *flat* space time, corresponding to the low-energy limit of usual NSR string theory. This corresponds to the situation when we are very far from the $D3$ -brane, corresponding to the V_5 -insertion. Next, the $D3$ -brane, or the non-Markovian V_5 -noise appears in the picture. This leads to the appropriate Langevin-type equations

for the dilaton, associated with corresponding modified Fokker-Planck equation (this FP equation should be modified due to non-trivial correlations of the noise). Next, we shall find that the distribution solving this modified FP equation is given by the exponent of the AdS_5 supergravity Hamiltonian, living on a constant time slice. Evolution in the stochastic time corresponds to the flow in the direction transverse to the $D3$ -brane worldvolume. Finally, in the limit of the infinite stochastic time we reach *another* equilibrium limit, corresponding to the theory living in the $D3$ -brane worldvolume, i.e. the four-dimensional gauge theory. Of course, such a scenario also implies the correspondence between radial AdS coordinate and the stochastic time which in turn corresponds to the worldsheet cutoff in the model (2). This interpretation also implies that the expansion in the λ_0 parameter in the brane-like sigma-model (9) corresponds to the the “stochastic diagrams” which have to be averaged over the white noise distribution. It is possible that the consequence of such an averaging is reflected in the numerical factor given by the integral over the worldsheet coordinate w appearing in the amplitude (8), the only worldsheet integration left after fixing Koba-Nielsen’s measure in the four-point correlator. Though the last suggestion seems rather heuristic and we will not provide any evidence for it in this paper, it nevertheless seems very tempting to ask whether the double logarithm appearing in the integral over w in (8) has anything to do with the one appearing in the well-known law of the iterated logarithm which limits the maximum distance covered by a Brownian particle for a time interval t : $|x(t) - x(0)| < const \times \sqrt{t \log |\log t|}$. Indeed, in this paper we will point out connections between the radial worldsheet coordinate (more precisely, the worldsheet cutoff), the stochastic time parameter and the radial AdS_5 coordinate. With all these intuitive ideas in mind, in the following section we will proceed to deriving the Langevin equation from the brane-like sigma-model (2) and the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation.

Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations from the brane-like sigma-model

Consider expansion of the generating functional (5) in $\varphi(X)$ and λ_0 . We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\lambda_0, \varphi) \sim & \int D[X] D[\psi] D[ghosts] e^{-S_0^{NSR}} \{ 1 + \int d^{10} p \varphi(p) \int d^2 z V_\varphi(p, z, \bar{z}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int d^{10} p_1 \int d^{10} p_2 \varphi(p_1) \varphi(p_2) \int d^2 z_1 \int d^2 z_2 V_\varphi(p_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1) V_\varphi(p_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2) \\
& + \lambda_0 \int \frac{d^4 k^\parallel}{k^\parallel{}^4} \int d^2 w V_5(k^\parallel, w, \bar{w}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^2 \int \frac{d^4 k_1^\parallel}{k_1^\parallel{}^4} \int \frac{d^4 k_2^\parallel}{k_2^\parallel{}^4} \int d^2 w_1 \int d^2 w_2 V_5(k_1^\parallel, w_1, \bar{w}_1) V_5(k_2^\parallel, w_2, \bar{w}_2) \\
& + \frac{\lambda_0^3}{6} \int \frac{d^4 k_1^\parallel}{k_1^\parallel{}^4} \int \frac{d^4 k_2^\parallel}{k_2^\parallel{}^4} \int \frac{d^4 k_3^\parallel}{k_3^\parallel{}^4} \int d^2 w_1 \int d^2 w_2 \int d^2 w_3 V_5(k_1^\parallel, w_1, \bar{w}_1) \\
& \times V_5(k_2^\parallel, w_2, \bar{w}_2) V_5(k_3^\parallel, w_3, \bar{w}_3) + \dots \} f(\Gamma, N)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where S_0^{NSR} is the free part of NSR superstring action in flat space-time and we only retained the terms relevant to our discussion. Consider first the term proportional to the square of the dilaton in the expansion (9). The O.P.E. between two dilaton vertex operators is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\varphi = & \int d^{10} p_1 \int d^{10} p_2 \int d^2 z_1 \int d^2 z_2 V_\varphi(z_1, \bar{z}_1, p_1) V_\varphi(z_2, \bar{z}_2, p_2) \sim \\
\sim & \int d^{10} p_1 \int d^{10} p_2 \int d^2 z_1 \int d^2 z_2 \left[\frac{C_\varphi(p_1, p_2)}{|z_1 - z_2|^2} V_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(z_1 + z_2), \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2), p_1 + p_2\right) + \dots \right] \\
& C_\varphi(p_1, p_2) \sim (p_1 - p_2)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here $C_\varphi(p_1, p_2)$ is the structure constant and we have dropped all the terms not contributing to the dilaton's beta-function. The worldsheet integrals in (9), (10) are divergent as the logarithmic divergence originates from the region $|z_1 - z_2| \sim \Lambda$ where Λ is the worldsheet cutoff. The relevant cutoff dependent part of the O.P.E. in (10) is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\varphi \sim & \log \Lambda \int d^{10} p_1 \int d^{10} p_2 \int d^2 z C_\varphi \varphi(p_1) \varphi(p_2) V_\varphi(z, \bar{z}, p_1 + p_2) \\
= & \log \Lambda \int d^{10} p \int d^2 z V_\varphi(p, z, \bar{z}) \int d^{10} q C_\varphi(q) \varphi\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we have changed the variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= z_1 + z_2 \\
w &= z_1 - z_2 \\
p &= p_1 + p_2 \\
q &= p_1 - p_2
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Combining this with the linear dilaton term in (9), it is easy to see that in the absence of the V_5 term the renormalization of the space-time dilaton field necessary to remove the logarithmic divergence in the partition function (9) would be given by

$$\varphi(p) \rightarrow \varphi(p) - \log \Lambda \int d^{10} q C_\varphi(q) \varphi\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) \tag{13}$$

provided that one does not turn on the graviton excitation. The condition for the world-sheet conformal invariance leads then to low energy effective equations of motion for the dilaton field in flat space-time:

$$\beta_\varphi \equiv \frac{d\varphi}{d(\log \Lambda)} = \int d^{10} q C_\varphi(q) \varphi\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) = 0 \tag{14}$$

The presence of the V_5 vertex, however, modifies the dilaton beta-function. The relevant part of the operator algebra is given by:

$$V_5(w_1, \bar{w}_1, k_1^{\parallel}) V_5(w_2, \bar{w}_2, k_2^{\parallel}) \sim \frac{C_{5\varphi}(k_1^{\parallel}, k_2^{\parallel})}{|w_1 - w_2|^2} V_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(w_1 + w_2), \frac{1}{2}(\bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_2); k_1^{\parallel} + k_2^{\parallel}\right) \tag{15}$$

where $C_{5\varphi} \sim (k_1^{\parallel} + k_2^{\parallel})^4$ is again the appropriate structure constant; it is obtained easily by computing the three-point correlator of two V_5 states with the dilaton on the sphere. It is remarkable that this structure constant is proportional to the fourth power in the momentum and has no contributions quadratic in p , unlike the case of the graviton. Proceeding precisely as above and substituting the structure constant $C_{5\varphi}$ we find that the logarithmically divergent contributions relevant to the dilaton's beta function are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\lambda_0, \varphi) \sim & \int D[X] D[\psi] D[ghosts] e^{-S_0^{NSR}} \left\{ 1 + \int d^{10} p \int d^2 z V_\varphi(z, \bar{z}, p) \{ \varphi(p) \right. \\
& + \log \Lambda \left[\int d^{10} q C_\varphi(q) \varphi\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \lambda_0^2 \int d^4 k_1^{\parallel} \frac{1}{(p^{\parallel} - k_1^{\parallel})^4} \left(1 + \lambda_0 \int \frac{d^4 k_2^{\parallel}}{k_2^{\parallel}} \int_\Lambda d^2 w V_5(w, \bar{w}, k_2^{\parallel}) \right) \right] \} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the integral over w is taken outside the two-dimensional cutoff region. To analyze this cutoff dependence, we write $w = re^{i\alpha}$ and

$$\int_{\Lambda} d^2w V_5(w, \bar{w}, k^{\parallel}) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dr r V_5(r, \alpha, k^{\parallel}) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dr r V_5(r + \Lambda, \alpha, k^{\parallel}) \quad (17)$$

The integral over $k_1^{\parallel}$ in (16) is taken over the on-shell surface; it is computed by introducing the exponential regulator: $\int \frac{d^4 k^{\parallel}}{k^{\parallel 4}} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^4 k^{\parallel} e^{i\alpha k}}{k^{\parallel 4}}$ and then taking the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. The value of this integral is equal to $1 + \log \alpha^2$ which gives just 1 after the regularization. Therefore the dilaton's beta-function equation following from the partition function expansion is given by

$$\frac{d\varphi(p)}{d(\log \Lambda)} = - \int d^{10}q C_{\varphi}(q) \varphi\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) + \eta_5(p^{\parallel}, \Lambda) \quad (18)$$

where

$$\eta_5(p^{\parallel}, \Lambda) \equiv -\lambda_0^2 (1 + \lambda_0 \int d^4 k_2^{\parallel} \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \int_0^{\infty} dr r V_5(r + \Lambda, \alpha, k^{\parallel})) \quad (19)$$

The dilaton beta-function equation (18) has the form of the Langevin equation (1), with the role of the stochastic noise term being played by the truncated worldsheet integral of the V_5 -vertex (19). The logarithm of the worldsheet cutoff parameter plays the role of the stochastic time in the Langevin equation (18). The noise (19) is non-Markovian and it is generated by the V_5 operator (3), as was already noted above. Prior to computing the correlators of the V_5 -noise (19), let us derive the Fokker-Planck equation associated with the Langevin equation (18). It should be stressed that in our derivation we will have to take into account that the noise is non-Markovian, i.e. its correlators depend non-trivially on the stochastic time. Let us assume the two-point noise correlation function is given by

$$\langle \eta_5(p_1^{\parallel}, \tau_1) \eta_5(p_2^{\parallel}, \tau_2) \rangle \equiv G(p_1^{\parallel}, p_2^{\parallel}, \tau_1, \tau_2) \quad (20)$$

where $\tau \sim \log \Lambda$ and the noise Green's function $G(p_1^{\parallel}, p_2^{\parallel}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is determined by properly regularized worldsheet correlators of the V_5 vertices in (19). The precise form of this Green's function will be computed below. Let us furthermore assume that the Green's function (19) corresponds to some local effective action $I(\eta_{V_5})$ which determines the propagation of the noise in stochastic time:

$$I(\eta) \sim \int d^4 p \int d\tau (\eta_5 K \eta_5 + V(\eta_5)) \quad (21)$$

where K is some differential operator and V is the potential. To derive the Fokker-Planck equation, associated with the non-Markovian Langevin equation (18), consider an arbitrary

τ -dependent functional of the dilaton field $f(\varphi(p, \tau), \tau)$ Next, consider the average of the time derivative of this functional, taking the Langevin equation (18) into account:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \frac{df(\varphi, \tau)}{d\tau} \rangle &= \int d^4p \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} \rangle \\ &= \int d^4p \{ - \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi} \rangle + \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \eta_5(-p, \tau) \rangle \} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where S_E is the dilaton low energy effective action in flat space-time (i.e. in the absence of the V_5 -term and the graviton). Integrating by parts, we can write the left-hand side of (22) as

$$\langle \frac{df(\varphi, \tau)}{d\tau} \rangle = \int D\varphi P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) \frac{df(\varphi, \tau)}{d\tau} = - \int D\varphi \frac{dP_{FP}(\varphi, \tau)}{d\tau} f(\varphi, \tau) \quad (23)$$

where $P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau)$ is five-dimensional Fokker-Planck distribution associated with the Langevin equation (18). Next, the first correlator on the right hand side of (22), involving S_E may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi} \rangle &= \int d^4p \int D\varphi P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi} \rangle \\ &= - \int D\varphi f(\varphi, \tau) \int d^4p \int d^4q \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \left[\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi(q)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

given that partial integration was again performed. Finally, consider the second term in the right hand side of (22). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4p \langle \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \eta_5(-p, \tau) \rangle &= \int D\eta_5 \int d^4p e^{-I(\eta_5)} \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} \eta_5(-p, \tau) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \int d^4p \frac{\delta}{\delta j(-p, \tau)} \int D\eta_5 e^{-I(\eta_5) + \int d^4q \int dt j(q, t) \eta_5(q, t)} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where we introduced infinitesimal source term in the last expression. Let us perform the transformation:

$$\eta_5 \rightarrow \eta_0 + \tilde{\eta} \quad (26)$$

where η_0 is the solution of equation $\frac{\delta I}{\delta \eta} = -j$ The functional integral (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta}{\delta j} \int d^4p \int D\tilde{\eta} e^{-[I(\tilde{\eta}) + \int d^4k \int d\tau \frac{\delta I(\tilde{\eta})}{\delta \tilde{\eta}} \eta_0(k, \tau) + \dots]} &\times \left\{ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) \right. \\ &\left. + \int d^4q \int dt \eta_0(q, t) \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\eta}(-q, t)} \left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) \right) + O(\eta_0^2) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In case when $I(\eta_5) = \int \eta_5 K \eta_5$, where K is some self-adjoint operator, we have $\eta_0(q, \tau) = -\int d^4 k dt G(q, \tau, k, t) j(-k, t)$ where G is the noise two-point function. Substituting into (27) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta}{\delta j} \int d^4 p \int D\tilde{\eta} e^{-[I(\tilde{\eta}) + \int d^4 q \int d\tau \int d^4 k \int dt j(q, \tau) G(-q, -k, \tau, t) j(k, t)]} & \left\{ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) \right. \\
& + \int d^4 q \int dt \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\eta}(q, t)} \left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) \right) j(q, t) G(-p, \tau, -q, t) + O(j^2) \} \\
= \int D\tilde{\eta} e^{-I(\tilde{\eta})} \int d^4 q \int dt \int d^4 p G(-p, \tau, -q, t) \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\eta}(q, t)} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi}(p, \tau) & \quad (28) \\
= \int d^4 q \int dt \int d^4 p G(-p, \tau, -q, t) < \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(q, t)} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} > \\
= \int d^4 q dt \int d^4 p G(-p, \tau, -q, t) < \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, t)} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} >
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(q, t)} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, t)} \quad (29)$$

To derive it, note that the general solution to the Langevin equation (18) is given by:

$$\varphi(k, \tau) = \int dt e^{-k^2(t-\tau)} \theta(t-\tau) \eta(k, t) \quad (30)$$

for which $\frac{\delta \varphi(k, \tau)}{\delta \eta(k, \tau)} = \theta(0) = 1$. [6] It is easy to see that in cases when $I(\eta)$ is not quadratic, the relation (28) is still true in the WKB limit. Next, writing the average (28) in terms of the functional integral in φ and integrating by parts as in (23) and (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^4 p < \frac{\delta f(\varphi, \tau)}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} > \\
= \int D\varphi f(\varphi, \tau) \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int dt \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, t)} G(-p, \tau, -q, t) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) & \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting together (23), (24), (31) and using the Langevin equation (18) we obtain the following Fokker-Planck equation for the non-Markovian stochastic process (18), (19):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dP_{FP}}{d\tau} = \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, \tau)} \left[\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi(p)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) \right] \\
- \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int dt \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, t)} G(-p, \tau, -q, t) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(p, \tau)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \tau) & \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

To complete our derivation we need to evaluate the two-point noise correlator $G(p, q, \tau, t)$ introduced in (20), which is determined by the worldsheet correlations of the V_5 vertex

in (19). Firstly, let us compute the correlator $\langle \int_{\Lambda_1} d^2z V_5(z, \bar{z}) \int_{\Lambda_2} d^2w V_5(w, \bar{w}) \rangle$ of the V_5 -operators entering the definition (19) of the noise. We have:

$$\langle \Gamma^4 \int_{\Lambda_1} d^2z V_5(z, \bar{z}) \int_{\Lambda_2} d^2w V_5(w, \bar{w}) \rangle = 6 \int_{\Lambda_1} d^2z \int_{\Lambda_2} d^2w \frac{1}{|z - w|^4} \quad (33)$$

where the fourth power of picture-changing operator Γ^4 has been introduced in the correlator to insure the cancellation of ghost number anomaly on a sphere. The integrals are divergent and their regularized dependence on Λ_1, Λ_2 determines the form of noise correlations in stochastic time. Writing $z = r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, w = r_2 e^{i\alpha_2}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \int_{\Lambda_1} d^2z V_5(z, \bar{z}) \int_{\Lambda_2} d^2w V_5(w, \bar{w}) \rangle \\ &= 6 \int_{\Lambda_1}^\infty dr_1 r_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha_1 \int_{\Lambda_2}^\infty dr_2 r_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha_2 \frac{1}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2))^2} \\ &= 3\pi^2 \int_{\Lambda_1}^\infty dr_1^2 \int_{\Lambda_2}^\infty dr_2^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{(r_1^2 - r_2^2)^2} + \frac{2r_2^2}{(r_1^2 - r_2^2)^3} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The regularized value of the of the first integral gives:

$$\int_{\Lambda_1}^\infty dr_1^2 \int_{\Lambda_2}^\infty dr_2^2 \frac{1}{(r_1^2 - r_2^2)^2} = \log(\Lambda_1^2 - \Lambda_2^2) \quad (35)$$

while the second one is given by

$$\int_{\Lambda_1}^\infty dr_1^2 \int_{\Lambda_2}^\infty dr_2^2 \frac{2r_2^2}{(r_1^2 - r_2^2)^3} = \frac{\Lambda_2^2}{\Lambda_1^2 - \Lambda_2^2} - \log(\Lambda_1^2 - \Lambda_2^2) \quad (36)$$

Adding these contributions together we see that the logarithmic terms cancel. Recalling that $\log \Lambda = \tau$ where τ is stochastic time we get the following expression for the non-Markovian noise correlator:

$$\langle \eta_5(p^\parallel, \tau_1) \eta_5(q^\parallel, \tau_2) \rangle \sim \lambda_0^4 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{e^{2(\tau_1 - \tau_2)} - 1} \right) \quad (37)$$

Substituting this expression into the Green's function in (32) concludes our derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation associated with the non-Markovian stochastic process (18) in the brane-like sigma-model. In the following section we will study the ansatz solutions to this equation showing their relevance to the AdS_5 supergravity Hamiltonian.

AdS_5 Supergravity as a solution of the Fokker-Planck Equation

Consider the bosonic part of the action for the AdS_5 supergravity:

$$S_{AdS_5} \sim N^2 \int d\lambda d^4x \frac{1}{\lambda^3} (\partial_\lambda \varphi \partial_\lambda \varphi + \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi) \quad (38)$$

where $\varphi(\lambda, x)$ is the dilaton, (λ, x^μ) are the transverse and longitudinal AdS_5 coordinates ($\mu = 0, \dots, 3$). The gauge-theoretic N parameter entering (38) is related to the AdS_5 radius R and ten-dimensional gravitational constant κ through $\frac{R^8}{\kappa^2} \sim N^2$. As is easy to check, the action (38) corresponds to the AdS_5 metric with normalization

$$ds^2 = \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{\lambda^2} (d\lambda d\lambda + dx_\mu dx^\mu) \quad (39)$$

Substituting $t = N^{\frac{2}{3}} \log \lambda$ we can write the metric as

$$ds^2 = dt dt + N^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-2tN^{-\frac{2}{3}}} dx_\mu dx^\mu \quad (40)$$

In the new coordinates corresponding to the temporal gauge, the gravity action becomes:

$$S_{AdS_5} = N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int dt d^4x e^{-4tN^{-\frac{2}{3}}} (\partial_t \varphi \partial_t \varphi + N^{-\frac{4}{3}} e^{2tN^{\frac{2}{3}}} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi) \quad (41)$$

The conjugate mimentum π , computed at a constant “time” t is given by

$$\pi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S_{AdS_5}}{\delta \varphi} = \partial_t \varphi \quad (42)$$

The corresponding ADM-type Hamiltonian is given by [11,12]

$$H_{AdS_5}(t) = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + L \right) = N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4x e^{-4tN^{-\frac{2}{3}}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \varphi \partial_t \varphi + N^{-\frac{4}{3}} e^{2tN^{\frac{2}{3}}} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi \right) \quad (43)$$

where $L = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$ is the local Lagrangian density. In the (λ, x) coordinates this “equal time slice” Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H_{AdS_5}(\lambda) = N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4x \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\lambda \varphi \partial_\lambda \varphi + \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi \right) \quad (44)$$

We shall also need the expression for this Hamiltonian in the (ρ, x) coordinates with $\rho = \lambda^2$:

$$H_{AdS_5}(\rho) = N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4x \left(2 \partial_\rho \varphi \partial_\rho \varphi + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi \right) \quad (45)$$

Our strategy now is to relate the radial AdS_5 coordinate to the stochastic time parameter. This will allow us to interpret the Hamiltonian H_{AdS_5} as a logarithm of the Fokker-Planck distribution $P_{FP} \sim e^{-H_{FP}}$ solving the Fokker-Planck equation (32). However, an important remark should be made here. We know from the holography principle that the radial ρ coordinate of AdS_5 in (45) corresponds to the gauge theory cutoff; therefore if one tries to interpret the AdS/CFT correspondence in terms of the stochastic quantization and the radial AdS coordinate as a stochastic time, it is the gauge theoretic cutoff that should enter the Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations solved by the AdS_5 supergravity Hamiltonian (43), (44). At the same time, however, the stochastic variable in the Langevin equation (18) corresponds to the worldsheet cutoff in string theory. It is clear that the cutoffs in gauge and string theories are not the same; they are related to each other in some non-trivial way. In fact, the knowledge of relation between the string theoretic cutoff to the gauge-theoretic one is necessary to make sense of any possible connections between strings and Yang-Mills theories. In other words, the AdS_5 gravity Hamiltonian (43), (44) must be a solution to the the Fokker-Planck equation (32) expressed in terms of the gauge theory cutoff (stochastic time) rather than the string-theoretic one. The crucial question is what precisely is the relation between ρ and $\Lambda_{string} \equiv \Lambda$. Below we will show that choosing

$$\rho = \log \Lambda \quad (46)$$

and expressing the Langevin equation (18), (19) in terms of ρ (as well as the associate Fokker-Planck equation) one can see that $P_{FP} = e^{-H_{AdS_5}}$ is the solution. Consider the Langevin equation (18). Substituting (46) for Λ we have:

$$\frac{d\varphi(p)}{d\rho} = -\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \varphi} + \eta_5(p, e^\rho) \quad (47)$$

where

$$\eta_5(p, e^{2\rho}) \sim \lambda_0^2 (1 + \lambda_0 \int_{e^\rho} d^2 z V_5(z, \bar{z})) \quad (48)$$

and the worldsheet integral is cut off at the scale $e^{2\rho}$. To cut the theory at the size of ρ corresponding to the the gauge theory cutoff we have to conformally transform $u = \log z$. Using the conformal covariance of the V_5 vertex operator we obtain

$$\eta_5(p, \rho) \sim \lambda_0^2 (1 + \lambda_0 \int_\rho d^2 u V_5(u, \bar{u})) \quad (49)$$

The Fokker-Planck equation associated with the stochastic process (47) is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP_{FP}}{d\rho} = & \int d^4p \int d^4q \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} \left[\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \rho) \right] \\ & - \int d^4p \int d^4q \int d\omega \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(q, \omega)} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} P_{FP}(\varphi, \rho) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$G(p, \rho, q, \omega) = G(-p, \rho, -q, \omega) = \lambda_0^4 (1 + \lambda_0^2 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \quad (51)$$

, i.e. it has the same form as the correlator (37) expressed in terms of Λ_1, Λ_2 . The integral over ω is taken from minus infinity to infinity. We are now ready to check that the Fokker-Planck equation (50) with noise propagator (51) is satisfied by the distribution with Hamiltonian (45). It is convenient to write the Hamiltonian (45) as

$$H_{AdS_5}(\rho) = H_1(\rho) + H_2(\rho) \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\rho) &= 2N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4x \partial_\rho \varphi \partial_\rho \varphi = 2N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4q \partial_\rho \varphi(q, \rho) \partial_\rho \varphi(-q, \rho) \\ H_2(\rho) &= \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{\rho} \int d^4x \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi = \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{\rho} \int d^4q \varphi(q, \rho) q^2 \varphi(-q, \rho) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

and the Fourier transform to the momentum space has been performed. We shall use the “constant time slice” variational calculus implying $\frac{\delta\varphi(q_1, \rho_1)}{\delta\varphi(q_2, \rho_2)} = \delta^{(4)}(q_1 - q_2) \theta_{\rho_1 - \rho_2}$ where θ_ρ is the function which is 1 at $\rho = 0$ and zero elsewhere. This function can be expressed in terms of the usual Heaviside step function as $\theta_\rho = \theta(\rho)\theta(-\rho)$ Note that the product of two Heaviside functions is a well-defined object in the context of the Colombeau theory of multiplication of distributions [13] The useful identity for θ_ρ is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\rho \frac{\theta_\rho}{\rho} = 1 \quad (54)$$

which can be easily checked using the integral representation for the Heaviside function: $\theta(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{ix\rho}}{x}$. It is convenient to represent the r.h.s. of the Fokker-Planck equation

(50) (apart from the drift term) as $\hat{I}_1 + \hat{I}_2 + \dots + \hat{I}_6 P_{FP}$ where schematically

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_1 &= \int \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} H_1 \\
\hat{I}_2 &= \int \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} H_2 \\
\hat{I}_3 &= \int \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta\varphi} \\
\hat{I}_4 &= \int \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta\varphi} \\
\hat{I}_5 &= \int \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta\varphi} \\
\hat{I}_6 &= \int \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta\varphi} G \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta\varphi}
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

First, let us consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_2 P_{FP}(\rho) &= \left\{ \int d^4 q \int d^4 p d\omega \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \omega)} H_2(\rho) \right\} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \left\{ \lambda_0^4 N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \delta^{(4)}(p - q) \int d\omega \theta_{\omega - \rho} \left(1 + \lambda_0^2 \frac{p^2}{\omega} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2} \right) \right\} P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Using (54) we can evaluate the ω integral in (55) and obtain:

$$\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho) = \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6}{2} \int d^4 q q^2 P_{FP}(\rho) \tag{57}$$

Next, consider the piece

$$\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho) = \left\{ \int d^4 q \int d^4 p d\omega \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \omega)} H_1(\rho) \right\} P_{FP}(\rho) \tag{58}$$

To compute this piece, consider first of all the variation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta H_1(\rho)}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) &= N^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} \int d^4 p \int du \partial_u \varphi(p, u) \partial_u \varphi(-p, u) \delta(\rho - u) P_{FP}(u) \\
&= -N^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(-q, \rho)} \int d^4 p \int du \varphi(p, u) \partial_u [\delta(\rho - u) \partial_u \varphi(-p, u) P_{FP}(u)] = \\
&\quad -N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int du \theta_{\rho - u} \partial_u [\delta(\rho - u) \partial_u \varphi(-q, u) P_{FP}(u)] \\
&= -N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int du \varphi(-q, u) \partial_u [\delta(\rho - u) \partial_u \theta_{\rho - u} P_{FP}(u)]
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Next, performing the second variation and integrating by parts we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho) &= -N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int du \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \lambda_0^6 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \theta_{\omega-u} \partial_u [\delta(\rho-u) \partial_u \theta_{\rho-u} P_{FP}(u)] \\
&= N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int du \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \frac{\lambda_0^6 \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \partial_u \theta_{\omega-u} \delta(\rho-u) \partial_u \theta_{\rho-u} P_{FP}(u) \quad (60) \\
&= -N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \frac{\lambda_0^6 \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \partial_\omega J(\rho, \omega)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\partial_u \theta_{\omega-u} = -\partial_\omega \theta_{\omega-u}$ and denoted $J(\rho, \omega) = \int du \theta_{\omega-u} \delta(\rho-u) \partial_u \theta_{\rho-u} P_{FP}(u)$. Next, integrating by parts again and using the above identity for the derivatives of θ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\rho, \omega) &= \int du \partial_u \theta_{\rho-u} \theta_{\omega-u} \delta(\rho-u) P_{FP}(u) = - \int du \partial_\omega \theta_{\rho-u} \theta_{\omega-u} \delta(\rho-u) P_{FP}(u) \\
&= -\partial_\rho \int du \theta_{\rho-u} \theta_{\omega-u} \delta(\rho-u) P_{FP}(u) + \int du \theta_{\rho-u} \theta_{\omega-u} (\delta(\rho-u))' P_{FP}(u) \quad (61) \\
&= -\partial_\rho (\theta_{\omega-\rho} P_{FP}(\rho)) - \partial_\rho (\theta_{\omega-\rho} P_{FP}(\rho)) = -2\partial_\rho (\theta_{\omega-\rho} P_{FP}(\rho))
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $J(\rho, \omega)$ into $\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho) &= -2N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \frac{\lambda_0^6 \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \partial_\omega \partial_\rho (\theta_{\omega-\rho} P_{FP}(\rho)) \\
&= -4N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \rho^2 \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) \int d\omega \frac{\omega \theta_{\omega-\rho}}{(\rho^2 - \omega^2)^2} + 4N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \rho^2 P_{FP}(\rho) \int d\omega \frac{\omega \partial_\omega \theta_{\omega-\rho}}{(\rho^2 - \omega^2)^2} \\
&= -4N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \rho^2 \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) \partial_\rho (\frac{1}{4\rho}) - 4N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \rho^2 P_{FP}(\rho) \int d\omega \theta_{\omega-\rho} (\frac{1}{(\rho^2 - \omega^2)^2} + \frac{4\omega^2}{(\rho^2 - \omega^2)^3}) \\
&= N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) + N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \rho^2 (-\frac{1}{2\rho^3} + \frac{1}{2\rho^3}) P_{FP}(\rho) \lambda_0^6 \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

To summarize,

$$\hat{I}_1 P_{FP}(\rho) = N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) \quad (63)$$

Next, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_3 P_{FP}(\rho) &= \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega \frac{\delta H_1(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\rho)} G(p, q, \rho, \omega) \frac{\delta H_1(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\omega)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2} \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) \partial_\omega (\theta_{\omega-\rho} \partial_\omega \varphi(q, \rho)) P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \{ \int d\omega \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2} \theta_{\omega-\rho} \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) \partial_\omega^2 \varphi(q, \omega) \\
&\quad - \int d\omega \theta_{\omega-\rho} \partial_\omega (\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) \partial_\omega \varphi(q, \omega) \} P_{FP}(\rho) \quad (64) \\
&= \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q (\frac{\rho}{2} \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) - \frac{\rho}{2} \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(q, \rho)) \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) P_{FP}(\rho) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The next piece is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_4 P_{FP}(\rho) &= \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \lambda_0^6 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \frac{\delta H_2(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(p, \rho)} \frac{\delta H_2(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(q, \omega)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \frac{p^2 q^2}{\rho} \varphi(p, \rho) \int d\omega \frac{\theta_{\omega-\rho} \omega \varphi(q, \omega)}{(\omega - \rho)(\omega + \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \frac{\lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}}}{2\rho} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q p^2 q^2 \varphi(p, \rho) \varphi(q, \rho) P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_5 P_{FP}(\rho) &= \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \lambda_0^6 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \frac{\delta H_1(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\rho)} \frac{\delta H_2(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\omega)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2} \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) \frac{q^2}{\omega} \varphi(q, \omega) P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= \frac{\lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}}}{2} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

At last,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_6 P_{FP}(\rho) &= \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega (\lambda_0^4 + \lambda_0^6 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \rho^2}) \frac{\delta H_2(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\rho)} \frac{\delta H_1(\rho)}{\delta \varphi(\omega)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
&= N^{\frac{8}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega \frac{p^2 \varphi(p, \rho)}{\rho} \partial_\omega (\theta_{\omega-\rho} \partial_\omega \varphi(q, \omega)) P_{FP}(\rho) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Finally, using (55)-(67) we find that substituting the AdS_5 supergravity Hamiltonian to the “kinetic” part of the r.h.s. of the Fokker-Planck equation (50) (i.e. the r.h.s. without a drift term) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^4 p \int d^4 q \int d\omega \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(q, \omega)} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(p, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) = N^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_0^6 \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 q q^2 \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2\rho} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q p^2 \varphi(p, \rho) q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) + \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2} \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) \right\} P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

The next step is to evaluate the drift term of the Fokker-Planck equation (50). First of all, we have to point out the correct form and normalization of the equilibrium action S_E . As the stochastic time ρ corresponds to the radial AdS_5 coordinate, orthogonal to the worldvolume of the underlying D3-brane, the stochastic process (18), (19) describes the evolution of an “equal time slice” gravity Hamiltonian as it drifts from infinity towards the D3-brane worldvolume. The state of thermal equilibrium, reached in the limit of infinite stochastic time, is described by the free field scalar theory in four dimensions since in the

region far from the D3-brane the space-time is flat. On the other hand, action in the drift term of the Langevin equation (18) is the one of the scalar field theory in *ten* dimensions, i.e. the dilaton effective action (in the Einstein frame) in the absence of the graviton mode and the V_5 term. Note, however, that truncation of the λ_0 expansion in the brane-like sigma-model, performed in (9), corresponds to the dilaton s-wave approximation in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ picture, as can be shown by considering sigma-model correlation functions and comparing them to those of the $D = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory refs[8]. In the s-wave approximation the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ supergravity is reduced to the AdS_5 one with the action (38) while the ten-dimensional free field dilaton action entering the Langevin equation (18), given by

$$S_{10} \sim \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^{10}x \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial^\alpha \varphi \quad (69)$$

can be reduced to the five-dimensional one given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_5 &\sim \frac{R^5}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \int d\rho (\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\rho \varphi \partial_\rho \varphi) \\ &= \frac{N^2}{R^3} \int d^4x \int d\rho (\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\rho \varphi \partial^\rho \varphi) \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Of course, higher order λ_0 corrections (corresponding to dilaton partial waves with higher angular momenta) modify the kinetic part of the Fokker-Planck equation (50) and the full ten-dimensional equilibrium action must then be used. The ADM-type “equal time slice” four-dimensional Hamiltonian may be constructed out of this action precisely as in the AdS_5 case; in the limit $\rho \rightarrow -\infty$ it is given by

$$S_E = \frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{R^2} \int d^4x \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi \quad (71)$$

This gives us the expression for the four-dimensional equilibrium distribution in the Fokker-Planck equation (50) obtained from the full ten-dimensional dilaton action in the Langevin equation (18) in the s-wave approximation. Physically, it describes the dilaton field living in the four-dimensional subspace infinitely far from the D3-brane.

Knowing the equilibrium action S_E , we may now carry out the calculation of the drift term in (50):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^4p \int d^4q \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} \left(\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) \right) \\
= & \int d^4p \int d^4q \left\{ \frac{\delta^2 S_E}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho) \delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) + \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} \frac{\delta(H_1 + H_2)}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) \right\} \\
& = \{ N^{\frac{4}{3}} \int d^4q q^2 + \frac{N^{\frac{8}{3}}}{\rho} \int d^4p \int d^4q p^2 \varphi(p, \rho) q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) \\
& \quad + N^{\frac{8}{3}} \int d^4p \int d^4q \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(p, \rho) q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) \} P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Finally, substituting (68) and (72) into the right-hand side of the Fokker-Planck equation (50) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} P_{FP}(\rho) & \equiv \int d^4p \int d^4q \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} \left[\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta\varphi(q, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) \right] \\
& - \int d^4p \int d^4q \int d\omega \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(q, \omega)} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(p, \rho)} P_{FP}(\rho) \\
& = -\lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{4}{3}} \partial_\rho P_{FP}(\rho) + \left\{ \left(\frac{N^{\frac{4}{3}}}{R^2} - \lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) \int d^4p p^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{8}{3}} - \frac{N^{\frac{8}{3}}}{R^2} \right) \int d^4p \int d^4q [p^2 \varphi(p, \rho) (q^2 \varphi(q, \rho) + \partial_\rho^2 \varphi(q, \rho))] \right\} P_{FP}(\rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Choosing $\lambda_0^6 = R^{-2}$ (i.e. proportional to the curvature of the AdS space) and rescaling the stochastic time ρ parameter as $\rho = -\lambda_0^6 N^{\frac{4}{3}} s$ we see that all the terms in the right hand side of (73) cancel out, except for the one proportional to the derivative of $P_{FP}(\rho) \equiv e^{-H_{AdS_5}(\rho)}$:

$$\hat{H} P_{FP}(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{FP}(s) \tag{74}$$

This constitutes the proof that the AdS_5 gravity indeed defines the distribution satisfying the Fokker-Planck equation associated with the stochastic process (18) with the non-Markovian V_5 -noise (19).

Discussion

Our results have the following geometrical interpretation. In the beginning ($\rho \rightarrow -\infty$) we have an observer living in asymptotically flat four-dimensional space-time. At certain moment the D3-brane, or the V_5 vertex, located infinitely far from the observer, is introduced. The gravitational force of the brane causes the drift of the four-dimensional space-time slice, in which the observer lives, towards the D3-brane location. From the point of view of the observer, however, the process of the four dimensional space-time

slice deformation is perceived as the non-Markovian stochastic process with the white noise propagating in the additional fictitious dimension. However, what perceived by the observer as a stochastic drift in the fictitious time dimension is in fact the gravitational drift in the *real* dimension, that is, the coordinate transverse to the $D3$ -brane worldvolume. The equilibrium state in the limit of $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to reaching the $D3$ -brane horizon by the observer. In this limit the V_5 noise propagator (51) becomes

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} G(p, \rho, q, \omega) = \lambda_0^4 + \lambda_0^6 \quad (75)$$

and in this limit the kinetic term of the Fokker-Planck equation (50) vanishes. The only contribution comes then from the drift term and the Fokker-Planck equation (50) is reduced to $p^2 P_{FP}(\varphi) \sim 0$ or

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_\mu \partial X^\mu} P_{FP} \sim 0 \quad (76)$$

in the position space. The structure of the last equation is similar to the regularized large N loop equation

$$\hat{L}W(C) = 0 \quad (77)$$

with

$$\hat{L} \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} d\alpha \frac{\delta^2}{\delta X_\mu(s - \alpha) \delta X^\mu(s + \alpha)} \quad (78)$$

Therefore in the limit of infinite stochastic time the Fokker-Planck distribution $e^{-H_{AdS_5}(\rho)}$ solving the equation (50) is reduced to the Wilson loop of the large N gauge theory living in the $D3$ -brane worldvolume. From point of view of the Langevin equation (18) reaching the equilibrium limit corresponds to restoring the worldsheet conformal invariance (or cut-off independence). Our final remark regards higher order λ_0 corrections in the brane-like sigma-model (9). As has been said before, this four-dimensional picture is only appropriate in the dilaton s-wave approximation. Higher order λ_0 corrections correspond to higher angular momentum modes of the dilaton on S^5 , therefore the initial equilibrium distribution in (18) must be taken ten-dimensional. Just as described above, the stochastic process is non-Markovian and the equilibrium limit reached at infinite stochastic time is different from the initial one and it corresponds to the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ gravity. Summing up to all orders of λ_0 one should obtain string theory compactified on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space. Thus flat ten-dimensional string theory and the one in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space-time appear as two *different* thermodynamical limits of the stochastic process caused by the non-Markovian V_5 -noise. This picture offers the dynamical explanation of how the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space-time

geometry emerges in the brane-like sigma-model (5) due to the presence of the 5-form V_5 vertex operator. Another question of interest is the loop equation which open string theory in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background should satisfy [14]. Though Wilson loops have been studied extensively in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence (for review see [15]), it is not clear that the functional of the AdS_5 supergravity satisfies the loop equation. So far the zig-zag invariance of the AdS_5 string has been proved in the WKB limit and for the special class of contours only [14]. On the other hand, it has been argued [16] that the loop equation may be understood as an equilibrium condition for the Fokker-Planck equation with the white noise. It seems hard, however, to draw any straightforward connection between Fokker-Planck and Schwinger-Dyson equations and, in particular, to interpret the loop equation as an infinite time limit of the $\langle H_{FP}(\tau)W(C) \rangle = 0$ relation discussed in [16,17,18]. The reason is that the loop equation is derived in the regularized theory while no corresponding regularization is performed in the Fokker-Planck counterpart. Therefore in order to make sense of possible connections between Wheeler-de Witt and Schwinger-Dyson equations one has to obtain more information about string and gauge degrees of freedom and their interrelation. In particular, it is necessary to point out how string and gauge theory cutoffs correspond to each other. We hope that our approach, attempting to explore the holographic effects from brane-like sigma-model point of view, will be helpful in trying to find answers to these questions.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank the Physics Department of Tel Aviv University and particularly J.Sonnenschein for their gracious hospitality. The author also gratefully acknowledges the very kind hospitality of the Erwin Schroedinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics as well as the organizers of the workshop “Dualities in String Theory” at the ESI in Vienna. In particular, it is a pleasure to thank H. Grosse and S. Theisen. I also would like to thank H.Huffel, B.Kol, M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J.Sonnenschein and S.Theisen for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by the EC contract no. ERBFMRX-CT 96-0090.

References

- [1] J.Maldacena, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.2 (1998) 231-252, hep-th/9711200
- [2] A.M.Polyakov, hep-th/9809057
- [3] S.Gubser, I.Klebanov, A.M.Polyakov, **Phys.Lett.B428:105-114**
- [4] E.Witten **Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.2:253-291,1998**
- [5] G.Parisi, Y.S.Wu, **Sci. Sinica 24 (1981) 484**
- [6] P.Damgaard, H.Huffel, **Phys.Rep.152 (1987) 227**
- [7] P.Damgaard, H.Huffel, Eds., Stochastic Quantization, World Scientific (1988)
- [8] D.Polyakov, **Phys.Lett. B 469 (1999) 103**
- [9] E.D'Hoker, D.Freedman, S.Mathur, A.Matusis, **Nucl.Phys.B 456:96 (1999)**
- [10] D.Polyakov, in progress
- [11] E.Verlinde, H.Verlinde, hep-th/9912018
- [12] J. de Boer, E.Verlinde, H.Verlinde, hep-th/9912018
- [13] J.-F. Colombeau "Multiplication of Distributions..." Springer-Verlag, 1992
- [14] A.M.Polyakov, V.Rychkov, PUPT-1917, hep-th/0002106
- [15] C.Sonnenschein, hep-th/0003032
- [16] L.Lifschyts, V.Periwal, hep-th/0003179, JHEP 0004: 026, 2000
- [17] S.Hirano, hep-th/9910256
- [18] C. Van De Bruck, gr-qc/0001048